IS 44 (Rev. 04/21)	Case 2:22-cv-01335	VIL COVI	ER SHEET	17/22 Dane 1 of 2	2			
The JS 44 civil cover sheet	Case 2:22-cv-01335	ther replace nor supp	element the filing and service	of pleadings of other papers as	required by law, except as be Clerk of Court for the			
1. I by land miles of c	ourt This form approved by the Judicial	Conference of the	21111000	7/4, is required for the use of the				
purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS					
			PLANET FITNE	SS FRANCHISING, LL	₋C.			
JOHN MAHONEY, on behalf of himself and all others								
similarly situated (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Bucks County			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Bucks County					
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF					
			NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
()	nne, Address, and Telephone Number)		Attorneys (If Known)					
(c) Attorneys (Firm No	nzberg, Esq., Robert M. Tobia	Esa., 123 S.						
David S. Gla	e 1640, Phila, PA 19109, 215-	-981-5400	ĕ					
			CITIZENSHIP OF PI	RINCIPAL PARTIES (F	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff			
II. BASIS OF JURI	SDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box O	m(y)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff (For Diversity Cases Only) And One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF					
1 U.S. Government x 3 Federal Question U.S. Government Not a Party)			Citizen of This State	FF DEF 1 Incorporated or Prin				
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party	,,	Julizen di Tina di ini	of Business In Th	nis State			
□- vaa	4 Diversity		Citizen of Another State					
2 U.S. Government Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship of Partie	es in Item III)		of Business In A				
			Citizen or Subject of a	3 Foreign Nation	6 6			
WAY BY A PRINTED BY AND THE	THE ST COMMON CASE AND ADDRESS OF THE STATE		Foreign Country	Click here for: Nature of S	uit Code Descriptions.			
CONTRACT	GUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS		FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES			
110 Insurance	ERBOTTE	SONAL INJURY	625 Drug Related Seizure	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal	375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC			
120 Marine		Personal Injury - Product Liability	of Property 21 USC 881	28 USC 157	3729(a))			
130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability 367 H	Health Care/		INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust			
150 Recovery of Overpays & Enforcement of Jud	nem 520 i tisadan, motori	harmaceutical Personal Injury		820 Copyrights	430 Banks and Banking			
151 Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers' P	Product Liability Asbestos Personal		830 Patent 835 Patent - Abbreviated	450 Commerce 460 Deportation			
152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans		Injury Product		New Drug Application	470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations			
(Excludes Veterans)		Liability ONAL PROPERTY	LABOR	840 Trademark 880 Defend Trade Secrets	480 Consumer Credit			
153 Recovery of Overpay of Veteran's Benefits	350 Motor Vehicle 370 C	Other Fraud	710 Fair Labor Standards	Act of 2016	(15 USC 1681 or 1692) 485 Telephone Consumer			
160 Stockholders' Suits		Fruth in Lending Other Personal	Act 720 Labor/Management	SOCIAL SECURITY	Protection Act			
195 Contract Product Liab	oility 360 Other Personal P	Property Damage	Relations 740 Railway Labor Act	861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923)	490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/			
196 Franchise		Property Damage Product Liability	751 Family and Medical	863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	Exchange			
	Medical Malpractice	NIED DETITIONS	Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation	864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g))	890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts			
210 Land Condemnation		eas Corpus:	791 Employee Retirement		893 Environmental Matters			
220 Foreclosure	Harris Hann	Alien Detainee Motions to Vacate	Income Security Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	895 Freedom of Information Act			
230 Rent Lease & Ejectm 240 Torts to Land	443 Housing/	Sentence		or Defendant)	896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure			
245 Tort Product Liability		General Death Penalty	IMMIGRATION	871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	Act/Review or Appeal of			
290 All Other Real Prope	Employment Othe	er:	462 Naturalization Application	n	Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of			
		Mandamus & Other Civil Rights	465 Other Immigration Actions		State Statutes			
	448 Education 555	Prison Condition						
		Civil Detainee - Conditions of						
		Confinement						
V. ORIGIN (Place as		ded from	Reinstated or 5 Trans	ferred from 6 Multidistr				
× 1 Original Proceeding	A PARTIE OF THE	ite Court	Reopened Anoth	er District Litigation fy) Transfer	Litigation - Direct File			
	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute und	der which you are fil		Jakes Company of the	Billettine			
	Title III of the Americans with [Disabilities Act	ing (Do not the jurismensia)					
VI. CAUSE OF A	Brief description of cause:							
THE DECLIERE	DIN W CHECK IS THE IS A C	TARRACTION	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only	if demanded in complaint:			
VII. REQUESTED			Dinini.	JURY DEMAND	The second secon			
	1.							
VIII. RELATED	(See instructions): JUDG	F.	1 1 1	DOCKET NUMBER				
		NATURE OF ATTOR	NEX OF RECORD	+ -				
DATE		David S. Glanzberg	M. Hours	ANC				
04/04.2022 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	2633							
		APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	мас. д	JDGE			
RECEIPT #	AMOUNT							

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JOHN MAHONEY, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,

Case No.:

Plaintiffs, v.

PLANET FITNESS FRANCHISING, LLC

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE AND DECLARATIVE RELIEF

D	ete	enda	int.			

INTRODUCTION

- Plaintiff JOHN MAHONEY ("Plaintiff" or "MAHONEY"), on behalf of himself and others similarly situated, asserts the following claims against Defendant known as PLANET FITNESS
 FRANCHISING, LLC operating as PLANET FITNESS WARMINSTER (henceforth known as PLANET FITNESS) as follows.
- 2. Based on a 2010 U.S. Census Bureau report, approximately 8.1 million people in the United States are visually impaired, including 2.1 million who are blind, and according to the American Foundation for the Blind's 2016 report, approximately 300,000 visually impaired persons live in the State of Pennsylvania.
- 3. "Being unable to access website puts individuals at a great disadvantage in today's society, which is driven by a dynamic electronic marketplace and unprecedented access to information." U.S. Dep' t of Justice, Statement of Eve L. Hill before the Senate Comm. on Health, Educ., Labor & Pensions, at 3 (May 14, 2013).
- 4. Plaintiff is a blind, visually-impaired handicapped person and a member of a protected class of individuals under the ADA, under 42 U.S.C. § 12102(1)-(2), and the regulations

Case 2:22-cv-01335-JMY Document 1 Filed 04/07/22 Page 3 of 22 implementing the ADA set forth at 28 CFR §§ 36.101 et seq.

- 5. Plaintiff requires screen-reading software to read website content using his computer.

 Plaintiff uses the terms "blind" or "visually-impaired" to refer to all people with visual impairments who meet the legal definition of blindness in that they have a visual acuity with correction of less than or equal to 20 x 200.
- 6. Plaintiff brings this civil rights action against Defendant to enforce Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12101 et seq. ("Title III"), which requires, among other things, that an owner and operator of a place of public accommodation (1) not deny persons with disabilities the benefits of its services, facilities, privileges and advantages; (2) provide such persons with benefits that are equal to those provided to nondisabled persons; (3) provide auxiliary aids and services-including electronic services for use with a computer screen reading program-where necessary to ensure effective communication with individuals with a visual disability, and to ensure that such persons are not excluded, denied services, segregated or otherwise treated differently than sighted individuals; and (4) utilize administrative methods, practices, and policies that provide persons with disabilities equal access to online content.
- PLANET FITNESS, a private entity (as that term is defined in 42 USCS § 12181(6)) who owns and operates places of public accommodation subject to Title III), deprives blind and visually-impaired individuals the benefits of its goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of its places of public accommodation-all benefits it affords nondisabled individuals- thereby increasing the sense of isolation and stigma among these Americans that Title ill was meant to redress.
- 8. Upon information and belief, because PLANET FITNESS website

Case 2:22-cv-01335-JMY Document 1 Filed 04/07/22 Page 4 of 22

<u>WWW.PLANETFITNESS.COM</u> (the "Website"), which provides information necessary to access its placesof public accommodation, has never been accessible and because **PLANET FITNESS** does not have, and has never had, an adequate corporate policy that is reasonably calculated to cause its Website to become and remain accessible, Plaintiff invokes 42 U.S.C. § 12188(a)(2) and seeks a permanent injunction requiring:

- a. that PLANET FITNESS retain a qualified consultant acceptable to Plaintiff ("Mutually Agreed Upon Consultant") who shall assist it in improving the accessibility of its Website so the information necessary to access the goods and services offered at its places of public accommodation may be equally accessed and enjoyed by individuals with vision related disabilities;
- b. that **PLANET FITNESS** work with the Mutually Agreed Upon Consultant to ensure that all employees involved in website development and content development be given web accessibility training on a periodic basis, including onsite training to create accessible content at the design and development stages;
- c. that PLANET FITNESS work with the Mutually Agreed Upon Consultant to perform an automated accessibility audit on a periodic basis to evaluate whether PLANET FITNESS 's Website, and thereby its place of public accommodation, may be equally accessed and enjoyed by individuals with vision related disabilities on an ongoing basis;
- d. that PLANET FITNESS work with the Mutually Agreed Upon Consultant to perform end-user accessibility/usability testing on a periodic basis with said testing to be performed by individuals with various disabilities to evaluate whether PLANET FITNESS 's Website, and thereby its places of public accommodation, may be equally accessed and enjoyed by individuals with vision related disabilities on an ongoing basis;
- e. that **PLANET FITNESS** work with the Mutually Agreed Upon Consultant to create an accessibility policy that will be posted on its Website, along with an e-mail address and tollfree phone number to report accessibility-related problems; and
- f. that Plaintiff, their counsel and its experts monitor Defendant's Website for up to two years after the Mutually Agreed Upon Consultant validates it is free of accessibility errors/violations to ensure **PLANET FITNESS** has adopted and implemented adequate accessibility policies.
- 9. Web-based technologies have features and content that are modified on a daily, and

Case 2:22-cv-01335-JMY Document 1 Filed 04/07/22 Page 5 of 22

in some instances, an hourly, basis, and a one time "fix" to an inaccessible website will not cause the website to remain accessible without a corresponding change in corporate policies related to those web-based technologies. To evaluate whether an inaccessible website has been rendered accessible, and whether corporate policies related to web-based technologies have been changed in a meaningful manner that will cause the website to remain accessible, the website must be reviewed on a periodic basis using both automated accessibility screening tools and end user testing by disabled individuals.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- This Court has subject-matter jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 42
 u.s.c. § 12188.
- 11. **PLANET FITNESS** purposefully targets and otherwise solicits business from Pennsylvania residents through its Website, and otherwise frequently conducts business with Pennsylvania residents.
- 12. Venue in this District is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 139l(b)(2) because this is the judicial district in which a substantial part of the acts and omissions giving rise to Plaintiff claims occurred.
- 13. This Court is empowered to issue a declaratory judgment under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.

PARTIES

- 14. Plaintiff, at all relevant times, is and was a resident of Bucks County, Pennsylvania.
- 15. Defendant is and was at all times a Delaware limited liability corporation doing business in Pennsylvania at several locations throughout the state of Pennsylvania, including its Corporate Club location as Planet Fitness-Warminster at 784 STREET ROAD, WARMINSTER PA 18974.
- 16. Defendant owns and operates several businesses in the State of Pennsylvania (the: "Physical Locations") that are places of public accommodation within the definition of Title III of ADA,

Case 2:22-cv-01335-JMY Document 1 Filed 04/07/22 Page 6 of 22

42 U.S.C. § 12181(7), as the Physical Locations are classified as, without limitations, a Gym, Physical Fitness Center and Personal Training Services, along with similar services. The services

NATURE OF ACTION

that Defendant offers at the Physical Locations are unique to the Physical Locations.

- 17. The Internet has become a significant source of information, a portal, and a tool for conducting business, doing everyday activities such as shopping, learning, banking, researching, as well as many other activities for sighted, blind and visually-impaired persons alike.
- In today's tech-savvy world, blind and visually impaired people have the ability to access website using keyboards in conjunction with screen access software that vocalizes the visual information found on a computer screen or displays the content on a refreshable Braille display. This technology is known as screen-rea ding software. Screen-reading software is currently the only method a blind or visually-impaired person may independently access the internet. Unless websites are designed to be read by screen-reading software, blind and visually-impaired persons are unable to fully access the website, and the information, products, and goods offered thereon.
- 19. Blind and visually-impaired users of Windows operating system-enabled computers and devices have several screen reading software programs available to them. Some of these programs are available for purchase and other programs are available without the user having to purchase the program separately. Job Access With Speech, otherwise known as "JAWS" is currently the most popular, separately purchased and downloaded screen- reading software program available for a Windows computer. Another popular screen- reading software program is NonVisual Desktop Access "NVDA." Plaintiff uses the former.
- 20. For screen-reading software to function, the information on a website must be capable of being rendered into text. If the website content is not capable of being rendered into text, the visually-impaired user is unable to access the same content available to sighted users.

Case 2:22-cv-01335-JMY Document 1 Filed 04/07/22 Page 7 of 22

- 21. The international website standards organization, the World Wide Web Consortium, known throughout the world as W3C, has published version 2.1 of the Web Content Accessibility Guidelines ("WCAG 2.1"). WCAG 2.1 are well-established guidelines for making website accessible to blind and visually-impaired people. These guidelines are universally followed by most large business entities and government agencies to ensure their website are accessible.
- 22. Non-compliant website poses common access barriers to blind and visually-impaired persons.
 Common barriers encountered by blind and visually impaired persons include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - A text equivalent for every non-text element is not provided;
 - b. Title frames with text are not provided for identification and navigation;
 - Equivalent text is not provided when using scripts;
 - d. Forms with the same information and functionality as for sighted persons are not provided;
 - e Information about the meaning and structure of content is not conveyed by more than the visual presentation of content;
 - f. Text cannot be resized without assistive technology up to 200% without losing content or functionality;
 - g. If the content enforces a time limit, the user is not able to extend, adjust or disable it;
 - h. Web pages do not have titles that describe the topic or purpose;
 - 1. The purpose of each link cannot be determined from the link text alone or from the link text and its programmatically determined link context;
 - J. One or more keyboard operable user interface lacks a mode of operation where the keyboard focus indicator is discernible;

Case 2:22-cv-01335-JMY Document 1 Filed 04/07/22 Page 8 of 22

- k. The default human language of each web page cannot be programmatically determined;
- I. When a component receives focus, it may initiate a change incontext;
- m. Changing the setting of a user interface component may automatically cause a change of context where the user has not been advised beforeusing the component;
- n. Labels or instructions are not provided when content requires user input, which include captcha prompts that require the user to verify that be or she is not a robot;
- o. In content which is implemented by using markup languages, elements do not have complete start and end tags, elements are not nested according to their specifications, elements may contain duplicate attributes, and/or any IDs are not unique;
- p. Inaccessible Portable Document Format (PDFs); and,
- q. The name and role of all User Interface elements cannot be programmatically determined; items that can be set by the user cannot be programmatically set; and/or notification of changes to these items is not available to user agents, including assistive technology.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 23. Defendant is a business that owns and operates physical locations offering Gym, Physical Fitness Facilities, Personal Training Appointments, and related services, including the Physical Locations. Defendant also owns and operates the Website.
- Defendant uses the Physical Locations to offer numerous services to the public, including, without limitation, Physical Fitness, Personal Training, and related services,
- 25. Defendant 's Website offers information to consumers throughout the United States, including

Case 2:22-cv-01335-JMY Document 1 Filed 04/07/22 Page 9 of 22

Pennsylvania. Such information (the "Information") includes, without limitation, information necessary to access and visit the Physical Locations, such as, without limitation, the phone number to the Physical Location, directions to and operating hours of the Physical Location, and information regarding the various offerings of and events scheduled to be held at the Physical Locations.

- 26. Plaintiff is a visually-impaired and legally blind person, who cannot use a computer without the assistance of screen-reading software. Plaintiff is, however, a proficient JAWS and/or NVDA screen-reader user and uses it to access the Internet.
- 27. Plaintiff has attempted to use Defendant's Website at least once in the past in order to access the Information and services presented thereon in order to access and visit the Physical Locations.

 Unfortunately, because of **PLANET FITNESS** 's failure to buildits Website in a manner that is compatible with screen reader programs, Plaintiff is unable to understand, and thus is denied the benefit of, much of the content and services he wishesto access or use. For example:
 - a. Many features on the Website lacks alt. text, which is the invisible code embedded beneath a graphical image. As a result, Plaintiff was unable to differentiate what products were on the screen due to the failure of the Website to adequately describe its content.
 - b. Many features on the Website also fail to Add a label element or title attribute for each field. This is a problem for the visually impaired because the screen reader fails to communicate the purpose of the page element. It also leads to the user not being able to understand what he or she is expected to insert into the subject field.
 - c. The Website also contains a host of broken links, which is a hyperlink to a nonexistent or empty webpage. For the visually impaired this is especially

- Case 2:22-cv-01335-JMY Document 1 Filed 04/07/22 Page 10 of 22 paralyzing due to the inability to navigate or otherwise determine where one ison the website once a broken link is encountered.
- 28. As a result of visiting **PLANET FITNESS**'s Website and from investigations performed on his behalf, Plaintiff is aware the Website includes at least the following additional barriers blocking his full and equal use:
 - a. The Website does not provide a text equivalent for every non-text element;
 - The purpose of each link cannot be determined from the link text alone or from the link text and its programmatically determined link context;
 - c. Web pages lack titles that describe their topic or purpose;
 - d. Headings and labels do not describe topic or purpose;
 - e. Keyboard user interfaces lack a mode of operation where the keyboard focusindicator is visible:
 - f. The default human language of each web page cannot be programmatically determined:
 - g. The human language of each passage or phrase in the content cannot be programmatically determined;
 - h. Labels or instructions are not always provided when content requires user input;
 - Text cannot be resized up to 200 percent without assistive technology so that itmay still be viewed without loss of content or functionality;
 - J. A mechanism is not always available to bypass blocks of content that are repeated on multiple web pages;
 - k. A correct reading sequence is not provided on pages where the sequence in which content is presented affects its meaning;
 - 1. In content implemented using markup languages, elements do not always have complete

- Case 2:22-cv-01335-JMY Document 1 Filed 04/07/22 Page 11 of 22
 - start and end tags, are not nested according to their specifications, may contain duplicate attributes, and IDs are not always unique; and
- m. The name and role of all UI elements cannot be programmatically determined; things that can be set by the user cannot be programmatically set; and/or notification of changes to these items is not available to user agents, including assistive technology.
- 29. These barriers, and others, deny Plaintiff full and equal access to the Information and content the Website offers related to the Physical Locations, and now deter him from attempting to use the Website and/or visit the Physical Locations. Still, Plaintiff would like to, and intends to, access the Website to gather the Information and access the content andservices presented thereon in order to visit one or both of the Physical Locations as soon as the Website is made accessible to the visually impaired, or to test the Website for compliance with the ADA.
- 30. Plaintiff intends to access the Website in order to gather the Information for purposes of accessing the services that Defendant offers to the public by way of the Physical Locations. Plaintiff intends to do so, and to visit the one or both of the Physical Locations to take advantage of the services and products offered there, as soon as the Website is made accessible to the visually impaired.
- 31. Due to Defendant's failure and refusal to remove access barriers to its Website, Plaintiff and visually-impaired persons have been and are still being denied equal access to Defendant's Website and the Physical Locations, and the numerous goods and services and benefits offered to the public through the Physical Locations.
- 32. If the Website were accessible, i.e. if PLANET FITNESS removed the access barriers described above, Plaintiff could independently research the Website's Information, content and services presented thereon related to the Physical Locations.
- 33. Through his attempts to use the Website, Plaintiff has actual knowledge of the access barriers that make the Information, content and services presented thereon inaccessible and independently unusable

Case 2:22-cv-01335-JMY Document 1 Filed 04/07/22 Page 12 of 22 by blind and visually-impaired people.

- 34. Though **PLANET FITNESS** may have centralized policies regarding the maintenance and operation of its Website, upon and information and belief, **PLANET FITNESS** has never had a plan or policy that is reasonably calculated to makeits Website fully accessible to, and independently usable by, individuals with vision related disabilities. As a result, the complained of access barriers are permanent in nature and likely to persist.
- 35. The Law requires that **PLANET FITNESS** reasonably accommodate Plaintiff's disabilities by removing these existing access barriers. Removal of the barriers identified above is readily achievable and may be earned out without much difficulty or expense.
- 36. Plaintiff's above request for injunctive relief is consistent with the work performed by the United States Department of Justice, Department of Transportation, and U.S. Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board (the "Access Board"), all of whom have relied upon or mandated that the public-facing pages of website complies with the international compliance standard known as Web Content Accessibility Guidelines version
 - 2.1 AA ("WCAG 2.1 AA"), which is published by an independent third party known as the Worldwide Web Consortium ("W3C").
- 37. Plaintiff and the Class (as defined below) have been, and in the absence of an injunction will continue to be, injured by PLANET FITNESS 's failure to provide its online content and services related to its places of public accommodation in a manner that is compatible with screen reader technology.
- 38. **PLANET FITNESS** has long known that screen reader technology is necessary for individuals with visual disabilities to access its online content and services, and that it is legally responsible for providing the same in a manner that is compatible with these auxiliary aids.

Case 2:22-cv-01335-JMY Document 1 Filed 04/07/22 Page 13 of 22

39.

- Indeed, the Disability Rights Section of the DOJ reaffirmed in a 2015 Statement of Interest before the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts that it has been a "longstanding position" of the Department of Justice "that the ADA applies to website of public accommodations." See *National Association of the Deaf. Massachusetts Institute of Technology*, No. 3:15-cv-300024-MGM, DOJ Statement of Interest in Opp. To Motion to Dismiss or Stay, Doc. 34, p. 4 (D. Mass. Jun. 25, 2015) ("MIT Statement of Interest"); see also *National Association of the Deaf v. Harvard University*, No. 3: 15-cv -30023 MGM, DOJ Statement of Interest of the United States of America, Doc. 33, p.4 (D. Mass. Jun. 25, 2015) ("Harvard Statement of Interest").
- 40. The ADA expressly contemplates the injunctive relief that Plaintiff seeks in this action.

 Inrelevant part, the ADA requires:

In the case of violations of ... this title, injunctive relief shall include an order to alter facilities to make such facilities readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities ... Where appropriate, injunctive relief shall also include requiring the . . . modification of a policy . . .42 U.S.C. § 12188(a)(2).

- 41. There is no DOJ administrative proceeding that could provide Plaintiff with Title III injunctive relief.
- 42. While DOJ has rulemaking authority and can bring enforcement actions in court:

 Congresshas not authorized it to provide an adjudicative administrative process to provide

 Plaintiff with relief.
- 43. Plaintiff alleges violations of existing and longstanding statutory and regulatory requirements to provide auxiliary aids or services necessary to ensure effective communication, and courts routinely decide these types of matters.
- 44. Resolution of Plaintiffs claims does not require the Court to unravel intricate, technical facts, but rather involves consideration of facts within the conventional competence of

- Case 2:22-cv-01335-JMY Document 1 Filed 04/07/22 Page 14 of 22 thecourts, e.g. (a) whether PLANET FITNESS offers content necessary to access it and services on its' Website and places of public accommodation, and (b) whether Plaintiffcan access such content and services.
- 45. Without injunctive relief, Plaintiff and other visually-impaired consumers will continue to be unable to independently use the Website and the content and services presented thereon necessary to access its places of public accommodation, violating their rights.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 46. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, seeks to certify a nationwide class (the "Class") under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and 23(b)(2) consisting of all legally blind individuals in the United States who have attempted to access Defendant's Website and as a result have been denied access to the equal enjoyment of the goods and services offered at its places of public accommodation, during the relevant statutory period.
- 47. Common questions of law and fact exist amongst the Class, including:
 - a. Whether the Physical Locations are a "public accommodation" under the ADA;
 - Whether, by providing content and services related to the Physical Locations including, without limitation, the Information, Defendant's Website bears a sufficient "nexus" to the Physical Locations;
 - c. Whether, through Defendant's Website, Defendant denies the full and equal enjoyment of its products, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations to people with visual disabilities, violating the ADA.
- 48. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the Class. The Class, like Plaintiff, are visually impaired orotherwise blind, and claim that Defendant has violated the ADA by failing to remove

Case 2:22-cv-01335-JMY Document 1 Filed 04/07/22 Page 15 of 22 access barriers on its Website so as to be independently accessible to the Class.

- 49. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the members of the Class (the "Class Members") because Plaintiff has retained and is represented by counsel competent and experienced in complex class action litigation, and because Plaintiff has no interests antagonistic to the Class Members.
- 50. Class certification of the claims is appropriate under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2) because Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Class, makingappropriate both declaratory and injunctive relief with respect to the Class as a whole.
- Alternatively, class certification is appropriate under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3) because fact and legal questions common to Class Members predominate over questions affecting only individual Class Members, and because a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this litigation.
- 52. Judicial economy will be served by maintaining this lawsuit as a class action in that it is likely to avoid the burden that would be otherwise placed upon the judicial system by the filing of numerous similar suits throughout the United States.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION VIOLATIONS OF THE ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12181 et seq.

- 53. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the Class Members, repeats and realleges everyallegation of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 54. Section 302(a) of Title III of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12101 et seq., provides:
 - No individual shall be discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of any place of public accommodation by any person who owns, leases (or leases to), or operates a place of public accommodation. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a).
- 55. The Physical Locations, owned and operated by Defendant, are public accommodations within the definition of Title III of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12181(7...

Case 2:22-cv-01335-JMY Document 1 Filed 04/07/22 Page 16 of 22

- 56. The Website bears a direct nexus to the Physical Location, as it offers content, services and information (including, without limitation, the Information) to the general public necessary to access the Physical Locations, and as such, must be equally accessible to all potential consumers.
- 57. By failing to make the Website equally accessible to all potential consumers (including members of the general public that are visually impaired), Defendant has prevented Plaintiff from availing himself of the goods and services that Defendant offers at the Physical Location.
- 58. Had Plaintiff been able to access the content, services and information (including, without limitation, the Information) offered on the Website, Plaintiff would have availed himself of the goods and services that Defendant offers at the Physical Location.
- 59. Under Section 302(b)(1) of Title III of the ADA, it is unlawful discrimination to deny individuals with disabilities the opportunity to participate in or benefit from the products, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of an entity. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(1)(A)(i).
- 60. Under Section 302(b)(l) of Title ill of the ADA, it is unlawful discrimination to deny individuals with disabilities an opportunity to participate in or benefit from the products, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodation, which is equal to the opportunities afforded to other individuals. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(l)(A)(ii).
- 61. Under Section 302(b)(2) of Title ill of the ADA, unlawful discrimination also includes, among other things:
 - [A] failure to make reasonable modifications in policies, practices, or procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations to individuals with disabilities, unless the entity can demonstrate that making such modifications would fundamentally alter the nature of such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages or accommodations; and a failure to takesuch steps as may be necessary to ensure that no individual with a disability is excluded, denied services, segregated or otherwise treated differently than other individuals because of the absence of auxiliary aids and services, unless the entity can demonstrate that takingsuch steps would fundamentally alter the nature of the good, service, facility, privilege, advantage, or accommodation being offered or would result in an undue burden. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(ii)-(iii.)

Case 2:22-cv-01335-JMY Document 1 Filed 04/07/22 Page 17 of 22

- 62. The acts alleged herein constitute violations of Title ID of the ADA, and the regulations promulgated thereunder. Plaintiff, who is a member of a protected class of persons under the ADA, has a physical disability that substantially limits the major life activity of sight within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. § 12102(1)(A)-(2)(A). Furthermore, Plaintiff has been denied full and equal access to the Website and Defendant's places of public accommodation, has not been provided services that are provided to other patrons who arenot disabled, and has not been provided any reasonable accommodation to those services. Defendant has failed to take any prompt and equitable steps to remedy its discriminatoryconduct. These violations are ongoing.
- 63. Under 42 U.S.C. § 12188 and the remedies, procedures, and rights set forth and incorporated therein, Plaintiff, requests relief as set forth below.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION DECLARATORY RELIEF

- 64. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the Class Members, repeats and realleges every allegation of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- An actual controversy has arisen and now exists between the parties in that Plaintiff contends, and is informed and believes that Defendant denies, that its Website contains access barriers denying blind customers the full and equal access to the products, services and facilities of its places of public accommodation, which Defendant owns, operations and controls, and therefore fails to comply with applicable laws including, but not limited to, Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12182, et seq. prohibiting discrimination against the blind.
- 66. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate at this time in order that each of theparties may know their respective rights and duties and act accordingly.

Case 2:22-cv-01335-JMY Document 1 Filed 04/07/22 Page 18 of 22 PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests this Court grant the following relief:

- 67. A Declaratory Judgment that at the commencement of this action **PLANET FITNESS** was in violation of the specific requirements of Title ill of the ADA described above, and the relevant implementing regulations of the ADA, in that **PLANET FITNESS** took no action that was reasonably calculated to ensure that its Website is fully accessible to, and independently usable by, individuals with visual disabilities:
- A permanent injunction pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12188(a)(2) and 28 CFR § 36.504(a) which directs Defendant to take all steps necessary to bring its Website into full compliance with the requirements related to and set forth in the ADA, and its implementing regulations, so that its Website and its places of public accommodation is fully accessible to, and independently usable by, blind individuals, and which further directs that the Court shall retain jurisdiction for a period to be determined to ensure that Defendant bas adopted andis following an institutional policy that will in fact cause it to remain fully in compliance with the law- the specific injunctive relief requested by Plaintiff is described more fully in paragraph 8 above;
- 69. An award of costs and expenses of this action:
- 70. Payment of reasonable attorneys' fees, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12205 and 28 CFR § 36.505, including costs of monitoring Defendant's compliance with the judgment (see *Hadix v. Johnson*, 143 F.3d 246 (6th Cir. 1998), affd in part, rev'd in part, 527 U.S. 343 (1999); *Jenkins v. Missouri*, 127 F.3d 709 (8th Cir. 1997); *Walker v. US. Dep't of Hous. & Urban Dev.*, 99 F.3d 761 (5th Cir. 1996); *Stewart v. Gates*, 987 F.2d 1450, 1452 (9th Cir. 1993) (district court should permit compensation for the post judgment monitoring efforts by the plaintiff's counsel that are "useful and necessary to ensure compliance with the court's orders"); *Garrity v. Sununu.* 752 F.2d 727, 738-39 Ost Cir. 1984); *Adams v. Mathis*, 752 F.2d 553 (11th Cir. 1985); *Willie M. v. Hunt*, 732

Case 2:22-cv-01335-JMY Document 1 Filed 04/07/22 Page 19 of 22

F.2d 383, 385, 387 (4th Cir.

1984); Bond v. Stanton, 630 F.2d 1231, 1233-34 (7th Cir. 1980); Northcross 1. Board of Educ., 611

F.2d 624, 637 (6th Cir. 1979) ("Services devoted to reasonable monitoring of the court's decrees,

both to ensure full compliance and to ensure that the plan is indeed working.. .are essential to the

long-term success of the plaintiffs suit.") (citing 3rd Circuit's support for District Court's award of

prospective fees to plaintiffs counsel);

71. An order certifying the Class under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) & (b)(2) and/or (b)(3), appointing Plaintiff

as Class Representative, and his attorneys as Class Counsel; and

72. Such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Dated:

04/01/2022

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

SKANSBERG TOBIALAW, P.C.

By: <u>David S. Glanzberg</u> David S. Glanzberg, Esq.

david.glanzberg@gtlawpc.com

123 South Broad Street, Suite 1640

Philadelphia, PA 19109

Tel: (215) 981-5400

Fax: (267) 319-1993

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

JOHN MAHONEY, on behalf of

himself and all others similarly : CIVIL ACTION

situated :

V. :

:

PLANET FITNESS FRANCHISING, LLC. : NO.

In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.

SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:

- (a) Habeas Corpus Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255. ()
- (b) Social Security Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits. ()
- (c) Arbitration Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. ()

- (d) Asbestos Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos. ()
- (e) Special Management Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court.
 (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.) ()
 (f) Standard Management Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks. (✓)

Date 04/04/22

DAVID S. GLANZBERG, ESQ Attorney for JOHN MAHONEY

Telephone 215-981-5400 E-Mail Address FAX Number 267-319-1993 DAVID.GLANZBERG@GTLAWPC.COM

(Civ. 660) 10/02

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT Case 2:22-cv-por35m2 by Sterr population Friends 94/07/122 Page 22 of 22

DESIGNATION FORM

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

	3 Centennial Station, Warmins				
Address of Defendant:					
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:	ounty				
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:					
Case Number:	Judge:	Date Terminated:			
Civil cases are deemed related when <i>Yes</i> is answered					
Is this case related to property included in an ear previously terminated action in this court?		Yes No No			
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit Yes No Poly Pol					
Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court?					
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights Yes No					
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case this court except as noted above. DATE: 04/04/2022	is of related to any case now pending or Must sign here Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff	within one year previously terminated action in 50820 Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)			
CIVIL: (Place a √in one category only)	P. Dissoits Issiediation	Casass			
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): Americans with Disa	2. Airplane Person 3. Assault, Defam 4. Marine Person 5. Motor Vehicle 6. Other Personal 7. Products Liabil 8. Products Liabil 9. All other Diver (Please specify):	ract and Other Contracts nal Injury ation al Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify): ity ity — Asbestos sity Cases			
Vggany visitor	ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION	* 19 90 0			
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive) Relief other than monetary damages is so	t of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify: 2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the of interest and costs:				
I, David S. Glanzberg, Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive	t of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify: 2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the of interest and costs:	damages recoverable in this civil action case			